

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/618,119	<b>Applicant(s)</b> HUDSON ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Daniel S. Metzmaier	<b>Art Unit</b> 1712	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** Rejected

(1) Daniel S. Metzmaier.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Keats A. Quinalty (reg. 46,426) for Steven Kerr.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 28 September 2007

**Time:** ~ 11:30 AM

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*none.*

Claims discussed:

*none.*

Prior art documents discussed:

*none.*


**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Examiner called Mr. Kerr to check on the status of the application. Mr Quinalty returned inquiry and indicated that no response was filed to the last Office Action.*

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 DANIEL S. METZMAIER  
 PRIMARY EXAMINER  
 ART UNIT 1712

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)